

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/448,508	11/24/1999	CONAL P. WALSH	91436-220	5123
22463	7590 09/03/2002			
SMART AND BIGGAR 438 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 1500 BOX 111			EXAMINER	
			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
TORONTO, ON M5G2K8 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(sl		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
, Advisory Action	09/448,508	WALSH, CONAL P.		
, Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Angela A. Armstrong	2654		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the comment which a timely filed amendment which is the comment with	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	If the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the ender the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.		
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: see		sidered but does NOT place the		
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.		
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
10. Other:				

Art Unit: 2654

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Kosaka et al contains no teaching or suggestion of counting syllables within each word of a text segment. The Examiner disagrees and argues that Kosaka et al discloses determining a number of morae representing a syllable count in the sentence or word at col. 8, lines 42-45. The Examiner argues that if the number of morae represents a syllable count is determined for a sentence, and the sentence is comprised of words, then the syllables of each word of a text segment is counted.

TÄLIVALDIS INARS ŠMITS PRIMARY EXAMINER